# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)
)
)
)
) Case No. 800-2015-013604
)
)
)
)
) `
)

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 17, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED: October 19, 2017.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Michelle Anne Bholat, M.D., Chair

Michelle Anne Blood MA

Panel B

1	XAVIER BECERRA						
2	Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ						
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN						
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 292587						
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101						
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9453 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061						
8	Attorneys for Complainant						
9							
10		RE THE					
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS						
12	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA					
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-013604					
14	JEFFREY PHILIP SAFER, M.D. 42 Corniche Drive, Unit A	OAH No. 2017070411					
15	Dana Point, CA 92629	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND					
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER					
17	110. 042017,						
18	Respondent.						
19							
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE	REED by and between the parties to the above-					
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:						
22	PARTIES						
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board						
24	of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in						
25	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael J. Yun,						
26	Deputy Attorney General.						
27	2. Respondent Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.I	D. (respondent) is representing himself in this					
28	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his rig	ght to be represented by counsel.					
		1					

3. On or about July 25, 1980, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819 to Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2015-013604, and will expire on October 31, 2017, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. On or about June 7, 2017, Accusation No. 800-2015-013604 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), and is currently pending against respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on June 7, 2017. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-013604 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-013604, and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order on his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation No. 800-2015-013604; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every one of the rights set forth and/or referenced above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 800-2015-013604.

///

///

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time that the Board considers and acts upon it.
- and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communication from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board, in its discretion, does not approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for any reason, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

#### **ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS**

- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may be used, including copies of the signatures of the parties, in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or opportunity to be heard by respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819 issued to respondent Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years from the effective date of the Decision on the following terms and conditions.

- 1. <u>ALCOHOL ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.
- 2. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE) Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.1. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. **SOLO PRACTICE PROHIBITION** Respondent is prohibited from engaging in the solo practice of medicine. Prohibited solo practice includes, but is not limited to, a practice where: 1) Respondent merely shares office space with another physician but is not affiliated for purposes of providing patient care, or 2) respondent is the sole physician practitioner at that location.

If respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

If, during the course of the probation, respondent's practice setting changes and respondent is no longer practicing in a setting in compliance with this Decision, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee within five (5) calendar days of the practice setting change. If respondent fails to establish a practice with another physician or secure employment in an appropriate practice setting within 60 calendar days of the practice setting change, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall not resume practice until an appropriate practice setting is established.

27 | ///

28 | ///

. 

#### UNIFORM STANDARDS FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEES

4. CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATIONS AND REPORTS Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on whatever periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, respondent shall undergo and complete a clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary, by a Board-appointed board certified physician and surgeon. The examiner shall consider any information provided by the Board or its designee and any other information he or she deems relevant, and shall furnish a written evaluation report to the Board or its designee.

The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted by a licensed physician and surgeon who holds a valid, unrestricted license, has three (3) years' experience in providing evaluations of physicians and surgeons with substance abuse disorders, and is approved by the Board or its designee. The clinical diagnostic evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with acceptable professional standards for conducting substance abuse clinical diagnostic evaluations. The evaluator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with respondent within the last five (5) years. The evaluator shall provide an objective, unbiased, and independent evaluation. The clinical diagnostic evaluation report shall set forth, in the evaluator's opinion, whether respondent has a substance abuse problem, whether respondent is a threat to himself or others, and recommendations for substance abuse treatment, practice restrictions, or other recommendations related to respondent's rehabilitation and ability to practice safely. If the evaluator determines during the evaluation process that respondent is a threat to himself or others, the evaluator shall notify the Board within twenty-four (24) hours of such a determination.

In formulating his or her opinion as to whether respondent is safe to return to either parttime or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations should be imposed, including participation in an inpatient or outpatient treatment program, the evaluator shall consider the following factors: respondent's license type; respondent's history; respondent's documented length of sobriety (i.e., length of time that has elapsed since respondent's last substance use); respondent's scope and pattern of substance abuse; respondent's treatment history, medical

2.7

history and current medical condition; the nature, duration and severity of respondent's substance abuse problem or problems; and whether respondent is a threat to himself or the public.

For all clinical diagnostic evaluations, a final written report shall be provided to the Board no later than ten (10) days from the date the evaluator is assigned the matter. If the evaluator requests additional information or time to complete the evaluation and report, an extension may be granted, but shall not exceed thirty (30) days from the date the evaluator was originally assigned the matter.

The Board shall review the clinical diagnostic evaluation report within five (5) business days of receipt to determine whether respondent is safe to return to either part-time or full-time practice and what restrictions or recommendations shall be imposed on respondent based on the recommendations made by the evaluator. Respondent shall not be returned to practice until he has at least thirty (30) days of negative biological fluid tests or biological fluid tests indicating that he has not used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself a prohibited substance, as defined in section 1361.51, subdivision (e), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations.

Clinical diagnostic evaluations conducted prior to the effective date of this Decision shall not be accepted towards the fulfillment of this requirement. The cost of the clinical diagnostic evaluation, including any and all testing deemed necessary by the examiner, the Board or its designee, shall be borne by the licensee.

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Board or its designee that he is fit to practice medicine safely. The period of time that respondent is not practicing medicine shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation. Respondent shall undergo biological fluid testing as required in this Decision at least two (2) times per week while awaiting the notification from the Board if he is fit to practice medicine safely.

Respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the examiner conducting the clinical diagnostic evaluation within fifteen (15) calendar days after being notified by the Board or its designee.

5. NOTICE OF EMPLOYER OR SUPERVISOR INFORMATION Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide to the Board the names,

physical addresses, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of any and all employers and supervisors. Respondent shall also provide specific, written consent for the Board, respondent's worksite monitor, and respondent's employers and supervisors to communicate regarding respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring.

For purposes of this section, "supervisors" shall include the Chief of Staff and Health or Well Being Committee Chair, or equivalent, if applicable, when respondent has medical staff privileges.

6. **BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING** Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon request of the Board or its designee. "Biological fluid testing" may include, but is not limited to, urine, blood, breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or similar drug screening approved by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall make daily contact with the Board or its designee to determine whether biological fluid testing is required. Respondent shall be tested on the date of the notification as directed by the Board or its designee. The Board may order respondent to undergo a biological fluid test on any day, at any time, including weekends and holidays. Except when testing on a specific date as ordered by the Board or its designee, the scheduling of biological fluid testing shall be done on a random basis. The cost of biological fluid testing shall be borne by respondent.

During the first year of probation, respondent shall be subject to 52 to 104 random tests. During the second year of probation and for the duration of the probationary term, up to five (5) years, respondent shall be subject to 36 to 104 random tests per year. Only if there have been no positive biological fluid tests in the previous five (5) consecutive years of probation, may testing be reduced to one (1) time per month. Nothing precludes the Board from increasing the number of random tests to the first-year level of frequency for any reason.

Prior to practicing medicine, respondent shall contract with a laboratory or service, approved in advance by the Board or its designee, that will conduct random, unannounced, observed, biological fluid testing and meets all of the following standards:

27 | ///

- (a) Its specimen collectors are either certified by the Drug and Alcohol Testing Industry Association or have completed the training required to serve as a collector for the United States Department of Transportation.
- (b) Its specimen collectors conform to the current United States Department of Transportation Specimen Collection Guidelines.
- (c) Its testing locations comply with the Urine Specimen Collection Guidelines published by the United States Department of Transportation without regard to the type of test administered.
- (d) Its specimen collectors observe the collection of testing specimens.
- (e) Its laboratories are certified and accredited by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.
- (f) Its testing locations shall submit a specimen to a laboratory within one (1) business day of receipt and all specimens collected shall be handled pursuant to chain of custody procedures. The laboratory shall process and analyze the specimens and provide legally defensible test results to the Board within seven (7) business days of receipt of the specimen. The Board will be notified of non-negative results within one (1) business day and will be notified of negative test results within seven (7) business days.
- (g) Its testing locations possess all the materials, equipment, and technical expertise necessary in order to test respondent on any day of the week.
- (h) Its testing locations are able to scientifically test for urine, blood, and hair specimens for the detection of alcohol and illegal and controlled substances.
- (i) It maintains testing sites located throughout California.
- (j) It maintains an automated 24-hour toll-free telephone system and/or a secure on-line computer database that allows the Respondent to check in daily for testing.
- (k) It maintains a secure, HIPAA-compliant website or computer system that allows staff access to drug test results and compliance reporting information that is available 24 hours a day.

- (l) It employs or contracts with toxicologists that are licensed physicians and have knowledge of substance abuse disorders and the appropriate medical training to interpret and evaluate laboratory biological fluid test results, medical histories, and any other information relevant to biomedical information.
- (m) It will not consider a toxicology screen to be negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the Respondent holds a valid prescription for the substance.

Prior to changing testing locations for any reason, including during vacation or other travel, alternative testing locations must be approved by the Board and meet the requirements above.

The contract shall require that the laboratory directly notify the Board or its designee of non-negative results within one (1) business day and negative test results within seven (7) business days of the results becoming available. Respondent shall maintain this laboratory or service contract during the period of probation.

A certified copy of any laboratory test result may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and respondent.

If a biological fluid test result indicates respondent has used, consumed, ingested, or administered to himself a prohibited substance, the Board shall order respondent to cease practice and instruct respondent to leave any place of work where respondent is practicing medicine or providing medical services. The Board shall immediately notify all of respondent's employers, supervisors and work monitors, if any, that respondent may not practice medicine or provide medical services while the cease-practice order is in effect.

A biological fluid test will not be considered negative if a positive result is obtained while practicing, even if the practitioner holds a valid prescription for the substance. If no prohibited substance use exists, the Board shall lift the cease-practice order within one (1) business day.

After the issuance of a cease-practice order, the Board shall determine whether the positive biological fluid test is in fact evidence of prohibited substance use by consulting with the specimen collector and the laboratory, communicating with the licensee, his treating physician(s), other health care provider, or group facilitator, as applicable.

·17

For purposes of this condition, the terms "biological fluid testing" and "testing" mean the acquisition and chemical analysis of respondent's urine, blood, breath, or hair.

For purposes of this condition, the term "prohibited substance" means an illegal drug, a lawful drug not prescribed or ordered by an appropriately licensed health care provider for use by respondent and approved by the Board, alcohol, or any other substance respondent has been instructed by the Board not to use, consume, ingest, or administer to himself.

If the Board confirms that a positive biological fluid test is evidence of use of a prohibited substance, respondent has committed a major violation, as defined in section 1361.52(a), and the Board shall impose any or all of the consequences set forth in section 1361.52(b), in addition to any other terms or conditions the Board determines are necessary for public protection or to enhance respondent's rehabilitation.

7. <u>SUBSTANCE ABUSE SUPPORT GROUP MEETINGS</u> Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for its prior approval, the name of a substance abuse support group which he shall attend for the duration of probation. Respondent shall attend substance abuse support group meetings at least once per week, or as ordered by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay all substance abuse support group meeting costs.

The facilitator of the substance abuse support group meeting shall have a minimum of three (3) years experience in the treatment and rehabilitation of substance abuse, and shall be licensed or certified by the state or nationally certified organizations. The facilitator shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or business relationship with Respondent within the last five (5) years. Respondent's previous participation in a substance abuse group support meeting led by the same facilitator does not constitute a prohibited current or former financial, personal, or business relationship.

The facilitator shall provide a signed document to the Board or its designee showing respondent's name, the group name, the date and location of the meeting, respondent's attendance, and respondent's level of participation and progress. The facilitator shall report any

unexcused absence by respondent from any substance abuse support group meeting to the Board, or its designee, within twenty-four (24) hours of the unexcused absence.

8. WORKSITE MONITOR FOR SUBSTANCE-ABUSING LICENSEE Within thirty (30) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a worksite monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physician and surgeon, other licensed health care professional if no physician and surgeon is available, or, as approved by the Board or its designee, a person in a position of authority who is capable of monitoring respondent at work.

The worksite monitor shall not have a current or former financial, personal, or familial relationship with respondent, or any other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render impartial and unbiased reports to the Board or its designee. If it is impractical for anyone but respondent's employer to serve as the worksite monitor, this requirement may be waived by the Board or its designee, however, under no circumstances shall respondent's worksite monitor be an employee or supervisee of the licensee.

The worksite monitor shall have an active unrestricted license with no disciplinary action within the last five (5) years, and shall sign an affirmation that he or she has reviewed the terms and conditions of respondent's disciplinary order and agrees to monitor respondent as set forth by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall pay all worksite monitoring costs.

The worksite monitor shall have face-to-face contact with respondent in the work environment on as frequent a basis as determined by the Board or its designee, but not less than once per week; interview other staff in the office regarding respondent's behavior, if requested by the Board or its designee; and review respondent's work attendance.

The worksite monitor shall verbally report any suspected substance abuse to the Board and respondent's employer or supervisor within one (1) business day of occurrence. If the suspected substance abuse does not occur during the Board's normal business hours, the verbal report shall be made to the Board or its designee within one (1) hour of the next business day. A written report that includes the date, time, and location of the suspected abuse; respondent's actions; and

28 | /

any other information deemed important by the worksite monitor shall be submitted to the Board or its designee within 48 hours of the occurrence.

The worksite monitor shall complete and submit a written report monthly or as directed by the Board or its designee which shall include the following: (1) Respondent's name and Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number; (2) the worksite monitor's name and signature; (3) the worksite monitor's license number, if applicable; (4) the location or location(s) of the worksite; (5) the dates respondent had face-to-face contact with the worksite monitor; (6) the names of worksite staff interviewed, if applicable; (7) a report of respondent's work attendance; (8) any change in respondent's behavior and/or personal habits; and (9) any indicators that can lead to suspected substance abuse by respondent. Respondent shall complete any required consent forms and execute agreements with the approved worksite monitor and the Board, or its designee, authorizing the Board, or its designee, and worksite monitor to exchange information.

If the worksite monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within five (5) calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within fifteen (15) calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within sixty (60) calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

- 9. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION CONDITION FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSING</u>

  <u>LICENSEES</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation.
- A. If respondent commits a major violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (a), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:

2.7

- (1) Issue an immediate cease-practice order and order Respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at respondent's expense. The cease-practice order issued by the Board or its designee shall state that respondent must test negative for at least a month of continuous biological fluid testing before being allowed to resume practice. For purposes of determining the length of time respondent must test negative while undergoing continuous biological fluid testing following issuance of a cease-practice order, a month is defined as thirty calendar (30) days. Respondent may not resume the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that he may do so.
  - (2) Increase the frequency of biological fluid testing.
- (3) Refer respondent for further disciplinary action, such as suspension, revocation, or other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- B. If respondent commits a minor violation of probation as defined by section 1361.52, subdivision (c), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, the Board shall take one or more of the following actions:
  - (1) Issue a cease-practice order;
  - (2) Order practice limitations;
  - (3) Order or increase supervision of respondent;
  - (4) Order increased documentation;
  - (5) Issue a citation and fine, or a warning letter;
- (6) Order respondent to undergo a clinical diagnostic evaluation to be conducted in accordance with section 1361.5, subdivision (c)(1), of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, at respondent's expense;
  - (7) Take any other action as determined by the Board or its designee.
- C. Nothing in this Decision shall be considered a limitation on the Board's authority to revoke respondent's probation if he has violated any term or condition of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was

stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

#### **STANDARD CONDITIONS**

10. **NOTIFICATION** Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 11. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS AND ADVANCED</u>

  PRACTICE NURSES During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants and advanced practice nurses.
- 12. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 13. **QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS** Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

#### 14. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS

#### Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit.

 $\parallel$  ///

2.7

#### **Address Changes**

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

#### **Place of Practice**

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 15. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time respondent is not practicing medicine as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct

2.7

patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. If respondent resides in California and is considered to be in non-practice, respondent shall comply with all terms and conditions of probation. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice and does not relieve respondent from complying with all the terms and conditions of probation. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, respondent shall successfully complete the Federation of State Medical Board's Special Purpose Examination, or, at the Board's discretion, a clinical competence assessment program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice for respondent residing outside of California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; General Probation Requirements; Quarterly Declarations; Abstain from the Use of Alcohol and/or Controlled Substances; and Biological Fluid Testing.

- 17. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 18. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke

Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- 19. **LICENSE SURRENDER** Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request to surrender his license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 20. **PROBATION MONITORING COSTS** Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G42819. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 10/2/12 JEFFREY PHILIP SAFER, M.D. Respondent

24.

#### **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. Dated: |0/5/2017|Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL J. YUN Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2017704608 81779617.doc

Exhibit 1

Accusation No. 800-2015-013604

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA **XAVIER BECERRA** SACRAMENTO June 7 2017 Attorney General of California 2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ BY Roben Etzwatar ANALYST Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 MICHAEL J. YUN Deputy Attorney General 4 State Bar No. 292587 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 5 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9453 7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 8 Attorneys for Complainant 9 10 **BEFORE THE** MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2015-013604 14 JEFFREY PHILIP SAFER, M.D. ACCUSATION 30400 Camino Capistrano 15 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 16 No. G 42819, 17 18 Respondent. 19 20 Complainant alleges: 21 **PARTIES** 22 Kimberly Kirchmeyer (complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official 23 capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. 24 On or about July 25, 1980, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's 25 Certificate No. G 42819 to Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 26 27 herein and will expire on October 31, 2017, unless renewed. 28 111 1

ACCUSATION (800-2015-013604)

28 | ///

1.11

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
  - "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
    - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
  - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
  - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
  - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
  - "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
  - "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

5. Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

...,

- 6. Unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 2234 is conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (*Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)
  - 7. Section 2236 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

"

- "(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1.

  The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."
- 8. Section 2239 of the Code states:
- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that

such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

"(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality<sup>1</sup> may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."<sup>2</sup>

#### 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Business and Professions Code section 2002, as amended and effective January 1, 2008, provides that, unless otherwise expressly provided, the term "board" as used in the State Medical Practice Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, sections 2000, et seq.) mean the "Medical Board of California," and references to the "Division of Medical Quality" and "Division of Licensing" in the Act or any other provision of law shall be deemed to refer to the Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is a nexus between a physician's use of alcoholic beverages and his or her fitness to practice medicine, established by the Legislature in section 2239, "in all cases where a licensed physician used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in such a manner as to pose a danger to himself or others." (Watson v. Superior Court (Medical Board) (2009) 176 Cal.App.4th 1407, 1411.)

license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:

Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to Qualifications, Functions, or Duties of a Physician and Surgeon)

10. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42819 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

#### February 28, 2015 DUI

- 11. On or about February 28, 2015, Deputy Sheriff Bishop (Deputy Bishop) of Orange County Sheriff's Department (OCSD) was on uniformed patrol duty in a marked Sheriff's patrol car in Laguna Niguel, California when he and OCSD Deputy Phillips were dispatched to Serenity Lane and Golden Lantern in Laguna Niguel regarding a disturbance.
- 12. Reporting Party M.S., respondent's wife, told Sheriff's dispatch (dispatch) that respondent was acting bizarre while driving her car and that he would not pull the car over. She also told dispatch that respondent may have been under the influence. She told dispatch that respondent had left her by driving south on Golden Lantern.
- 13. On or about February 28, 2015, when Deputy Bishop arrived at M.S.'s location, M.S. provided the following statement, in summary:

"Respondent and I had been married for 39 years. I recently learned respondent had a history of alcohol abuse. We had recently moved. Respondent has been depressed. Respondent seemed off all day. When respondent was driving me to a hardware store in Aliso Viejo, he had a difficult time staying in his lane and drifted into other lanes of traffic. When I began to criticize his driving, respondent told me that if I did not like his driving, I should drive. I called the OCSD to report respondent acting bizarre.

.16 

///

Respondent pulled over, in the area of Serenity Lane and Golden Lantern, to let me drive. When I got out of the car, respondent drove away."

- 14. After getting the above information from M.S., Deputy Bishop attempted to call respondent on the phone, but he did not answer. M.S. then told Deputy Bishop that respondent most likely went back to their home in Dana Point. Deputy Bishop used his department issued radio to request a Dana Point Deputy be sent to their address to check on the welfare of respondent.
- 15. At approximately 6:28 p.m., a vehicle M.S. identified as respondent's car drove south bound on Golden Lantern and turned onto Serenity Lane where Deputy Bishop and M.S. were. M.S. identified the driver as respondent. Respondent stopped his car, turned off the ignition, and exited the car without being directed by the officers.
- 16. When Deputy Bishop asked respondent how he was doing, he replied, "I'm doing fine." When Deputy Bishop walked closer to respondent, to about three feet away from him, and told him that M.S. thought he may be under the influence of something, respondent replied, "No, I'm not under the influence of anything. I'm fine." When respondent made that statement, Deputy Bishop smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage on respondent's breath.
- 17. Deputy Bishop informed respondent that he was not under arrest, but being detained. When he asked respondent for his consent to search his body for anything illegal, he replied, "Sure." Nothing illegal was found on respondent's person. When Deputy Bishop asked respondent if he would answer some questions to help him determine if respondent was under the influence of alcohol, respondent stated, "Sure."
- 18. Respondent agreed to perform a series of Field Sobriety Tests which were explained by Deputy Bishop prior to respondent's attempted performance. During the Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN) test, respondent's eyes lacked smooth pursuit, had distinct and sustained nystagmus at maximum deviation with an angle of onset prior to 45 degrees, and his eyes were bloodshot, watery, and droopy.

- 19. During the One Leg Stand test, respondent began performing the test before Deputy Bishop finished providing instructions. Respondent stumbled to his left, taking one step. This area was flat, debris free, and was illuminated by a street lamp. When Deputy Bishop told respondent to hold on, respondent began walking away. Deputy Bishop told respondent to stop and he complied.
- 20. Deputy Bishop told respondent again that he would explain the test to him, demonstrate the test to him, and then ask him to perform the test. Respondent again began attempting to perform his test before Deputy Bishop was able to demonstrate it for him. Respondent put his raised foot down 2 times before beginning to count. Respondent put his foot down on counts 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, and 10.
- 21. Respondent performed the Rhomberg test relatively well by estimating 30 seconds in about 32 seconds; however, Deputy Bishop saw that respondent's eyes had tremors and that his body swayed about 4 inches from side to side during his performance of the test.
- 22. During the Walk and Turn test, respondent again began attempting to perform the test while Deputy Bishop was explaining the test to him. Respondent had to be told to stop three (3) times before he actually stopped. During his first 9 steps, respondent missed heal to toe on steps 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9. After taking these initial 9 steps, respondent stopped and looked at Deputy Bishop for instructions.
- 23. During the Finger to Nose test, respondent missed touching the tip of his nose every time and instead touched the area between the bottom of his nose and his upper lip.
- 24. Deputy Bishop then read respondent the Preliminary Alcohol Screening (PAS) device admonishment and asked him if would take a PAS test. Respondent replied, "Sure." Respondent did not blow in to the device as instructed, therefore the test had to be conducted again. Respondent again did not blow into the device as instructed. The test was conducted again and this time, the manual capture function was used to take his breath sample. Respondent blew a 0.154% blood alcohol content (BAC). Another PAS test was conducted and respondent blew a 0.164% BAC.

28 || ///

- 25. Based on respondent's performance on the FSTs and the results of the PAS test, Deputy Bishop placed respondent under arrest for violations of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivisions (a) and (b) [DUI].
- 26. Deputy Bishop read respondent the chemical test admonition and respondent agreed to a blood test. Respondent then asked to speak to his wife, M.S., and Deputy Bishop allowed him to speak to her. While the couple spoke, M.S. asked respondent when he drank alcohol. Respondent told M.S. that he drank alcohol in the morning.
- 27. Respondent was transported to Orange County Jail where a sample of his blood was taken and sent to the Orange County Crime Lab for toxicology analysis. The toxicology analysis of respondent's blood sample returned a BAC of 0.16%.
- 28. On or about April 15, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jeffrey Philip Safer*, Superior Court Case No. 15HM03822, respondent was charged in Count 1, with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivision (a) [Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol], and in Count 2, with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivision (b) [Driving with Blood Alcohol 0.08% or More. In addition, it was specially alleged pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 23578 that respondent had a BAC of 0.15 % or more.
- 29. On or about October 27, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, in the case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jeffrey Philip Safer*, Superior Court Case No. 15HM03822, respondent pled Guilty and was convicted of Counts 1 and 2, violating Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivisions (a) and (b). Respondent also admitted the special allegation of Vehicle Code Section 23578, in that he had a BAC of .15 percent or more. He specifically admitted to his BAC of 0.16% at the time of his driving. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years summary probation, 150 days in jail, enrollment in and completion of the 18 Months Multiple Offender Program, and fines and fees.

28 || ///

#### April 11, 2015 DUI

- 30. On or about April 11, 2015, at about 7:46 p.m., Deputy Sheriff Buechler (Deputy Buechler) of Orange Count Sheriff's Department (OCSD) was on her uniformed patrol duty in the city of Dana Point when she saw respondent drive his 2008 Infiniti EX35 through Strands Beach Parking Lot over a raised grass median. Deputy Buechler approached the driver who was the respondent and noticed that he had unsteady gait, slurred speech, strong odor of an alcoholic beverage on his breath, and bloodshot/watery eyes.
- 31. Soon afterwards, Deputy Bowsher of OCSD arrived at the location and conducted a DUI investigation on respondent. Deputy Bowsher asked respondent pre-FST questions. Respondent stated he did not have any physical defects, that he is not diabetic or epileptic, that he had not had any recent surgery, that he had not eaten anything recently, and that he had not taken any medication or drugs.
  - 32. Respondent also stated that he had not been drinking any alcoholic beverages.
- 33. Deputy Bowsher asked respondent to perform FSTs. During the HGN test, respondent's eyes exhibited lack of smooth pursuit. Respondent did not perform the Rhomberg test or the Finger to Nose test. When asked to perform the One Leg Stand after explanation and demonstration by Deputy Bowsher, respondent stated, "I can't do this."
  - 34. During his attempt of the Walk and Turn test, respondent almost fell over.
- 35. Respondent provided two breath samples for the PAS test. Respondent's breath samples returned BAC of .19% and .20%.
- 36. Deputy Bowsher concluded the signs and symptoms displayed by respondent were consistent with being under the influence of alcohol and that respondent was too impaired to safely operate a motor vehicle. Based on respondent's objective symptoms of alcohol intoxication and his performance on the FSTs, Deputy Bowsher arrested respondent for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol] and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol .08% or More].

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	37.	After being advised of the Informed Consent Law, respondent provided breath
samp	oles for	r the Portable Evidential Breath Test (PEBT). His samples returned BACs of
.21%	and .	20%.

- On or about July 30, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, in 38. the case entitled The People of the State of California v. Jeffrey Philip Safer, Superior Court Case No. 15HM07727, respondent was charged in Count 1, with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivision (a) [Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol], and in Count 2, with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivision (b) [Driving with Blood Alcohol 0.08% or more]. In addition, it was specially alleged pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 23538, subdivision (b)(2), that respondent had a BAC of .20% or more, by weight.
- 39. On or about October 27, 2015, in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange, in the case entitled The People of the State of California v. Jeffrey Philip Safer, Superior Court Case No. 15HM07727, respondent pled Guilty and was convicted of Counts 1 and 2, violating Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivisions (a) and (b). Respondent also admitted the special allegation of Vehicle Code Section 23538, subdivision (b)(2), in that he had a BAC of .20% or more. He specifically admitted to his BAC of 0.21% at the time of his driving. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years summary probation, 150 days in jail, to be served concurrently with his other DUI case in Case No. 15HM03822, enrollment in and completion of the 18 Months Multiple Offender Program, and fines and fees.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Alcoholic Beverages to the Extent, or in a Manner, as to be Dangerous to Respondent, Another Person, or the Public)

Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. 40. G 42819 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he used alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to respondent, another person or the public, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 10 through 39, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (General Unprofessional Conduct)

41. Respondent has further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42819 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, of the Code, in that he has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 10 through 40, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 42819, issued to respondent Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering respondent Jeffrey Philip Safer, M.D. to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring, if placed on probation; and

4.	Taking such	other and	further	action	as d	eeme	d nec	essary	and	proper
----	-------------	-----------	---------	--------	------	------	-------	--------	-----	--------

DATED: June 7, 2017

KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

State of California

State of California Complainant

SD2017704608 81695389.doc